Chapter 1 - Introduction to Data

Chad Bailey

**Smoking habits of UK residents**. (1.10, p. 20) A survey was conducted to study the smoking habits of UK residents. Below is a data matrix displaying a portion of the data collected in this survey. Note that “£” stands for British Pounds Sterling, “cig” stands for cigarettes, and “N/A” refers to a missing component of the data.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | sex | age | marital | grossIncome | smoke | amtWeekends | amtWeekdays |
| 1 | Female | 42 | Single | Under *£*2,600 | Yes | 12 cig/day | 12 cig/day |
| 2 | Male | 44 | Single | *£*10,400 to *£*15,600 | No | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | Male | 53 | Married | Above *£*36,400 | Yes | 6 cig/day | 6 cig/day |
| .  .  . | .  .  . | .  .  . | .  .  . | .  .  . | .  .  . | .  .  . | .  .  . |
| 1691 | Male | 40 | Single | *£*2,600 to *£*5,200 | Yes | 8 cig/day | 8 cig/day |

1. What does each row of the data matrix represent?
2. How many participants were included in the survey?
3. Indicate whether each variable in the study is numerical or categorical. If numerical, identify as continuous or discrete. If categorical, indicate if the variable is ordinal.

1.10.a Each row of the data matrix represents an individual participant’s responses and their demographics, formally referred to as a case or observational unit

1.10.b 1691 participants were included in this survey

1.10.c sex: categorical, nominal  
 age: numerical, continuous  
 marital: categorical, nominal  
 grossIncome: categorical, ordinal  
 smoke: categorical, nominal  
 amtWeekends: numerical, discrete  
 amtWeekdays: numerical, discrete

**Cheaters, scope of inference**. (1.14, p. 29) Exercise 1.5 introduces a study where researchers studying the relationship between honesty, age, and self-control conducted an experiment on 160 children between the ages of 5 and 15[[1]](#footnote-1). The researchers asked each child to toss a fair coin in private and to record the outcome (white or black) on a paper sheet, and said they would only reward children who report white. Half the students were explicitly told not to cheat and the others were not given any explicit instructions. Differences were observed in the cheating rates in the instruction and no instruction groups, as well as some differences across children’s characteristics within each group.

1. Identify the population of interest and the sample in this study.
2. Comment on whether or not the results of the study can be generalized to the population, and if the findings of the study can be used to establish causal relationships.

1.14.a Population of interest: all children ages 5 – 15  
Sample: 160 children with ages 5 – 15

1.14.b

* Results cannot be used to establish causal relationships as no statement of random assignment was given. It is not stated whether students were randomly assigned to receive the treatment (explicit instructions not to cheat) or the control group (no explicit instructions).
* Results can only be used to describe the correlation of the sample as no statement of random sampling of the participants was given.

**Reading the paper**. (1.28, p. 31) Below are excerpts from two articles published in the NY Times:

1. An article titled Risks: Smokers Found More Prone to Dementia states the following:

“Researchers analyzed data from 23,123 health plan members who participated in a voluntary exam and health behavior survey from 1978 to 1985, when they were 50-60 years old. 23 years later, about 25% of the group had dementia, including 1,136 with Alzheimer’s disease and 416 with vascular dementia. After adjusting for other factors, the researchers concluded that pack-a- day smokers were 37% more likely than nonsmokers to develop dementia, and the risks went up with increased smoking; 44% for one to two packs a day; and twice the risk for more than two packs.”

Based on this study, can we conclude that smoking causes dementia later in life? Explain your reasoning.

1. Another article titled The School Bully Is Sleepy states the following:

“The University of Michigan study, collected survey data from parents on each child’s sleep habits and asked both parents and teachers to assess behavioral concerns. About a third of the students studied were identified by parents or teachers as having problems with disruptive behavior or bullying. The researchers found that children who had behavioral issues and those who were identified as bullies were twice as likely to have shown symptoms of sleep disorders.”

A friend of yours who read the article says, “The study shows that sleep disorders lead to bullying in school children.” Is this statement justified? If not, how best can you describe the conclusion that can be drawn from this study?

1.28.a From this study, we cannot establish a causal relationship between smoking and dementia later in life because there was no random assignment to the treatment (smoking) or control group (non-smoking)

1.28.b No, the friend’s statement is not justified. This study can only be used to describe the correlation of sleep disorders and behavior issues/bullying in this sample of students. No causal relationship can be established because there was no random assignment to the treatment (sleep disorder) or control group (non-sleep disorder). Results cannot be generalized to the broader population because this was not a random sample of students.

**Exercise and mental health.** (1.34, p. 35) A researcher is interested in the effects of exercise on mental health and he proposes the following study: Use stratified random sampling to ensure representative proportions of 18-30, 31-40 and 41-55 year olds from the population. Next, randomly assign half the subjects from each age group to exercise twice a week, and instruct the rest not to exercise. Conduct a mental health exam at the beginning and at the end of the study, and compare the results.

1. What type of study is this?
2. What are the treatment and control groups in this study?
3. Does this study make use of blocking? If so, what is the blocking variable?
4. Does this study make use of blinding?
5. Comment on whether or not the results of the study can be used to establish a causal relationship between exercise and mental health, and indicate whether or not the conclusions can be generalized to the population at large.
6. Suppose you are given the task of determining if this proposed study should get funding. Would you have any reservations about the study proposal?

1.34.a Experimental

1.34.b Treatment: exercise twice a week

Control: not to exercise

1.34.c Yes, this design uses blocking. The blocking variable is age.

1.34.d No, this design does not use blinding. Both the participants and researchers know which participants are in the treatment and control groups.

1.34.e The results of this experiment will:

* Be able to establish a causal relationship as participants are randomly assigned to the treatment and control groups
* Be able to be generalizable as it was based on a random sample of participants

1.34.f I would have minor reservations, in that it probably would be best to also stratify by gender, race/ethnicity, and socioeconomic status. However, that would add cost and it may be appropriate to begin with a simpler model to show the need for a more complex study.
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